ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA PORPORATION COMMISSION

2

4

5

6

1

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

BOB STUMP

2009 FEB 24 P 4: 03

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

190

FEB 2 4 2009

DOCKELED EA

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WILLOW VALLEY WATER COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA

DOCKET NO. W-01732A-09-0079

MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Willow Valley Water Company ("Willow Valley") moves that this docket be consolidated with the five additional rate dockets that were filed on the same day for affiliated companies (collectively, the "Global Utilities"", and together with their unregulated affiliates and parent companies, "Global Water"). Specifically, Applicant moves that the following dockets be consolidated:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Utility	Docket Number
Global Water – Palo Verde Utilities Company	SW-03575A-09-0077
Global Water – Santa Cruz Water Company	W-03576A-09-0080
Valencia Water Company – Town Division	W-01212A-09-0082
Valencia Water Company – Greater Buckeye Division	W-02451A-09-0078
Water Utility of Greater Tonopah	W-02450A-09-0081
Willow Valley Water Co.	W-01732A-09-0079

Consolidation is justified for numerous reasons:

Cost Allocation. The Global Utilities know that the Commission will want to examine the allocation of costs among the Global Utilities, and between the Global Utilities and their unregulated affiliates. In order to address questions raised by the Commission and Staff, Global Water has implemented a new cost allocation method, as further explained in the testimony of Greg Barber, which was filed along with the Rate Application of Palo Verde. This allocation method should be more transparent and easier to audit. However, the Global Utilities expect that the Commission will want to fully examine all aspects of cost allocation. This issue is common for all the Global Utilities. Moreover, this examination will be simpler if all the Global Utilities are before the Commission in the same proceeding.

Other Common Issues. There are additional common issues that impact each of the Global Utilities. For example, the Global Utilities have submitted joint testimony concerning cost of equity, cost of debt, total water management and cost cutting measures that is applicable to each of the Global Utilities.

Integrated Service. The Global Utilities strongly believe in the integration of water, wastewater and recycled water services. Integration allows the water utility to promote the use of recycled water, and it also provides increased efficiencies. Because the provision of these three services (water, wastewater and recycled water) is so closely linked, the Global Utilities believe that, when possible, the rates for these three services should be determined in one proceeding. Here, Palo Verde and Santa Cruz provide integrated water, wastewater and recycled water services to the same service areas in Pinal County, Arizona. In addition, Palo Verde and Santa Cruz share certain employees and facilities. Thus, the rate applications of Palo Verde and Santa Cruz should be consolidated.

Rate Consolidation. In order to limit the rate impact to customers of the smaller utilities, the Global Utilities are proposing to consolidate the rates of the three Global Utilities in the West Valley: Valencia Water Company – Town Division, Valencia Water Company – Greater

1 Buckeye Division, and Water Utility of Greater Tonopah. In order to properly evaluate this proposal, it will be necessary to consolidate the rate dockets involving these utilities. 2 3 Efficiency. Processing one combined case should be more efficient than processing six separate rate cases. This should benefit Global, the Commission, Staff, and any intervenors. 4 5 Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Commission consolidate these six dockets. 6 Counsel for Applicant has conferred with counsel for Staff and Staff has indicated that it does not 7 oppose the proposed consolidation. 8 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24 day of February 2009. 9 10 ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC 11 12 13 Timothy J. Sabo 14 One Arizona Center 15 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 16 17 Attorneys for Willow Valley Water Company 18 19 20 Original +13 copies of the foregoing filed this 24 day of February 2009, with: 21 22 Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 23 1200 West Washington 24 Phoenix, AZ 85007 25 26

1	Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed
2	this 24% day of February 2009, to:
3	Lyn A. Farmer, Esq. Chief Administrative Law Judge
4	Hearing Division
5	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
6	Phoenix, AZ 85007
7	Janice Alward, Esq.
8	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	Ernest G. Johnson, Esq.
11	Director, Utilities Division
12	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	
15	By Alber Amarel
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	